A CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF TH	Tike	
The second		<b>A</b>
	TOTO	1/ \
1	TOIS I SCHIEFFER	
	LOIS J. SCHIFFER Assistant Attorney General	
2	LOIS J. SCHIEFER Assistant Attorney General	1
	H. MICHAEL SEMLER	
3	Environment and Notes 170	2
	Environment and Natural Resources Division United States Department of Justice P.O. Box 23086	\S_2
4	P.O. Box 23986 Washington, D.C. 20026-3986 Telephone: (202) 514 1543 7	, 0
	Washington D.C. 20026 2002	4
ં ્યું . 5	Telephone: (202) 514 1542 F	W.
	Telephone: (202) 514-1542, Fax (202) 514-8865	4,
6	MARK A RIGATI	-
	III CHVITOnmental and Notice to the second s	
7:	United States Department of Justice	1
	THE WALL CONTINUES AND A SECOND OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERT	
. 8)	ID DUMI I FAIRCING I SHITAPHEA AMTAE "TARE TO SHOW IT IN THE STANDARD STAND	.4.
	III	40.00
91		
and the state of the	ALEJANDRO N. MAYORKAS	· , · · ·
10	William States Afforney	
	Central District of California	Nie g
11.	推送 人名英马克克克 化二氯化丁基 医二氯化二甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基	
	LEON W. WEIDMAN	
12	Unier Civil Division	. ` .
	d Alexii XIII II II II II I Xinto Danxii - 144 aaa.	
13		
	I JVV IVIIII LOS Angeles Street	\$
14	BULUN ATTYCHES I STITOPHA DANTA	1.00
7	Telephone: (213) 894-3996, Fax (213) 894-7819	
) <b>15</b> .		7.1.
16	Attorneys for Plaintiff United States of America	
10	<b>着</b> 体的感染的感染的,以后,像的风格的问题,就是一个人,一个人,只是一个人的意思的,但是这个人,也是一个人的人的人,也是 <mark>是是我们</mark> 的人,只是我们的人们的人们,他们	S. Barre
17	INITED CTATES	
	は、「A CONTROL OF A LEVEL OF A LE	
	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
18	FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALLEGRAPHICS OF CALLEGRAPHIC	
18	FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA CAS	
18	FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA CAS	
18 19	FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA CAS	
	FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA CAS  UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, No	3
18 19 20	FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA CAS  UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,  Plaintiff    No	
	FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA CAS  UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, No	3
	FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA CAS  UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,  Plaintiff    No	
	FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA CAS  UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, No	
20 21 22	FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA CAS  UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, No	
	FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA CAS  UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, No	
20 21 22 23	FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA CAS  UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, No	
20 21 22	FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA CAS  UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, No	
20 21 22 23 24	FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA CAS  UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, No	
20 21 22 23	FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA CAS  UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, No	
20 21 22 23 24 25	FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA CAS  UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, No	
20 21 22 23 24	FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA CAS  UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, No	
20 21 22 23 24 25	FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA CAS  UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, No	
20 21 22 23 24 25	FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,  Plaintiff,  COMPLAINT  ADAM BROS. FARMING INC. SICEBERG HOLDINGS, L.P., RICHARD ADAM, PETER ADAM, KIERAN ADAM, AND DOMINIC ADAM,  Defendants.  The United States of America ("United States") by	
20 21 22 23 24 25 26 26	FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,  Plaintiff,  COMPLAINT  ADAM BROS. FARMING INC. SICEBERG HOLDINGS, L.P., RICHARD ADAM, PETER ADAM, KIERAN ADAM, AND DOMINIC ADAM,  Defendants.  The United States of America ("United States") by	
20 21 22 23 24 25	FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA CAS  UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, No	は 100mm 10
20 21 22 23 24 25 26 26	FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA CAS  UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, No	では、
20 21 22 23 24 25 26 26	FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA CAS  UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, No	
20 21 22 23 24 25 26 26	FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA CAS  UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, No	は 100mm 10
20 21 22 23 24 25 26 26	FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA CAS  UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, No	
20 21 22 23 24 25 26 26	FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA CAS  UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, No	
20 21 22 23 24 25 26 26	FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA CAS  UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, No	

... 1<sub>..</sub>98

LOIS J. SCHIFFER 1 Assistant Attorney General 2 H. MICHAEL SEMLER Environment and Natural Resources Division 3 United States Department of Justice P.O. Box 23986 4 Washington, D.C. 20026-3986 Telephone: (202) 514-1542, Fax (202) 514-8865 5 MARK A. RIGAU 6 Environmental and Natural Resources Division United States Department of Justice 7 301 Howard Street, Suite 870 San Francisco, California 94105 Telephone: (415) 744-6487, Fax (415) 744-6476 8 9 ALEJANDRO N. MAYORKAS United States Attorney 10 Central District of California 11 LEON W. WEIDMAN 12 Chief, Civil Division LAWRENCE KOLE (State Bar No. 141582) Assistant United States Attorney 13 300 North Los Angeles Street Los Angeles, California 90012 Telephone: (213) 894-3996, Fax (213) 894-7819 14 15 Attorneys for Plaintiff United States of America 16 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 17 FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 18 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, 19 No. 20 Plaintiff. COMPLAINT 21 ADAM BROS. FARMING, INC., ICEBERG HOLDINGS, L.P., RICHARD 22 ADAM, PETER ADAM, KIERAN ADAM, AND DOMINIC ADAM, 23 24 Defendants. 25 26 The United States of America ("United States"), by authority of the Attorney General 27 and at the request of the United States Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA"), alleges 28 as follows:

## **NATURE OF THIS ACTION**

- 1. This is a civil action pursuant to section 309 of the Clean Water Act ("CWA"), 33 U.S.C. § 1319, for injunctive relief and civil penalties. The defendants are: Adam Bros. Farming, Inc.; Iceberg Holding, L.P.; Richard Adam; Peter Adam; Kieran Adam; and Dominic Adam ("Defendants").
- 2. The United States requests that the Court (i) enjoin Defendants from discharging pollutants into waters of the United States in violation of section 301(a) of the CWA, 33 U.S.C. § 1311(a), (ii) require Defendants to restore the affected waters and otherwise mitigate the environmental harm they have caused, and (iii) impose civil penalties pursuant to section 309(d) of the CWA, 33 U.S.C. § 1319(d).

## JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 3. This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action pursuant to section 309(b) of the CWA, 33 U.S.C. § 1319(b), and 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1345, 1355.
- 4. Venue is proper in this judicial district pursuant to section 309(b) of the CWA, 33 U.S.C. § 1319(b), and 28 U.S.C. §1391(b) and (c).
- 5. EPA has provided notice of the commencement of this action to the State of California pursuant to section 309(b) of the CWA, 33 U.S.C. § 1319(b).

#### THE PARTIES

- 6. The plaintiff in this action is the United States. Authority to bring this action is vested in the United States Department of Justice pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 516 and 519, and 33 U.S.C. § 1366.
- 7. Defendant Adam Bros. Farming, Inc. ("Adam Bros.") is a California corporation with its principal place of business in Santa Barbara County, California.
- 8. Iceberg Holdings, L.P. ("Iceberg Holdings") is a California limited partnership with its principal place of business in Santa Barbara County, California.
- 9. Richard E. Adam is an individual residing in or near Santa Barbara County, California. He is the father of Peter, Kieran, and Dominic Adam.
  - 10. Peter Adam is an individual residing in or near Santa Barbara County,

California.

11.

13.

12. Dominic Adam is an individual residing in or near Santa Barbara County, California.

THE CLEAN WATER ACT

Section 301(a) of the CWA, 33 U.S.C. § 1311(a), prohibits "the discharge of

Kieran Adam is an individual residing in or near Santa Barbara County,

- any pollutant" into waters of the United States except, inter alia, as authorized under section 404 of the Clean Water Act. 33 U.S.C. § 1344.
- 14. Section 404(a) of the CWA, 33 U.S.C. § 1344(a), authorizes the Secretary of the Army, acting through the United States Army Corps of Engineers ("Corps"), to issue permits for the discharge of dredged or fill material into waters of the United States.
- 15. The term "pollutant" as defined in section 502(6) of the CWA, 33 U.S.C. § 1362(6), includes "dredged spoil,...rock, sand [and] cellar dirt."
- 16. The term "discharge of a pollutant" as defined in section 502(12) of the CWA, 33 U.S.C. § 1362(12), includes "any addition of any pollutant to navigable water from any point source."
- 17. The term "person" as defined in section 502(5) of the CWA, 33 U.S.C. § 1362(5), includes "an individual, corporation, partnership, [or] association.."
- 18. The term "navigable waters" as defined in section 502(7) of the CWA, 33 U.S.C. § 1362(7), means "waters of the United States."
- 19. The term "wetlands" is defined by regulation as "those areas that are inundated or saturated by surface or ground water at a frequency and duration sufficient to support, and that under normal circumstances do support, a prevalence of vegetation typically adapted for life in saturated soil conditions." 33 C.F.R. § 328.3(b); 40 C.F.R. § 230.3(t).
- 20. The term "waters of the United States" is defined by regulation to include (a) all waters which are currently used, or were used in the past in interstate or foreign commerce; (b) all other waters such as intrastate rivers and streams, including intermittent

 streams, the use degradation or destruction of which could affect interstate or foreign commerce; (c) tributaries of waters of the United States; and (d) wetlands adjacent to such waters. 40 C.F.R. § 230.3(s) and 33 C.F.R. § 328.3(a).

- 21. The term "point source" as defined in section 502(14) of the CWA, 33 U.S.C. § 1362(14), includes "any discernible, confined and discrete conveyance... from which pollutants are or may be discharged."
- 22. Section 309(b) of the CWA, 33 U.S.C. § 1319(b), authorizes EPA to file a civil action for appropriate relief, including a permanent or temporary injunction, against any person who violates section 301(a), 33 U.S.C. § 1311(a).
- 23. Section 309(d) of the CWA, 33 U.S.C. § 1319(d), provides that any person who violates sections 301(a) of the CWA, 33 U.S.C. §§ 1311(a), shall be subject to civil penalties for each day of each violation.

## FACTUAL BACKGROUND

#### A. The Site

- 24. The real property at issue in this case consists of four parcels located adjacent to State Highway One between Black and Solomon Roads near the community of Orcutt in Santa Barbara County, California (Santa Barbara County Assessor's Parcel Nos. 111-240-05, 07, 20, and 24) ("the Site"). The Site consists of about 240 acres.
- 25. Orcutt Creek is an intermittent stream that transverses the Site. Several unnamed tributaries drain into Orcutt Creek on the Site ("the Unnamed Tributaries"). Orcutt Creek and the Unnamed Tributaries are tributaries of the Pacific Ocean. Orcutt Creek and the Unnamed Tributaries historically provided habitat for the California Red-legged Frog, a threatened species listed under the Endangered Species Act, 16 U.S.C. §§ 1531 et seq., and habitat suitable for use by migratory birds.
- 26. Adjacent to Orcutt Creek and the Unnamed Tributaries on the Site is a large area of wetlands ("the Wetlands").
- 27. Orcutt Creek, the Unnamed Tributaries, and the Wetlands comprise about 79 acres of the 240-acre Site.

# B. <u>Defendants' Ownership and Control Of The Site</u>

- 28. Richard Adams and his wife, Bernadette Adams, purchased the Site on November 13, 1997, and continued to own the Site until December 30, 1998. On that date they transferred the Site to Iceberg Holdings.
- 29. Iceberg Holdings has owned the Site at all times since December 30, 1998. The general partners of Iceberg Holdings are Richard Adam and Bernadette Adam. The three limited partners in Iceberg Holdings are their adults sons, Peter Adam, Kieran Adam, and Dominic Adam.
- 30. Adam Bros. is a closely-held corporation controlled by the Adam family. Richard Adam and his sons Peter Adam, Kieran Adam, and Dominic Adam are officers, directors, and shareholders of the corporation.
- 31. Adam Bros. conducts farming operations and other activities on the Site. For this purpose, Adam Bros. leases the Site from Iceberg Holdings.

# C. <u>Discharges Into Waters Of The United States In 1998 and 1999</u>

- 32. In 1998 and 1999 Defendants arranged for and carried out a sweeping alteration of the surface features and hydrology of the Site. Defendants' activities on the Site included: mechanized landclearing; channelization of Orcutt Creek and the Unnamed Tributaries; construction of berms, roads, drains, and artificial streambanks; installation of subsurface pipes; and the placement of earthen materials into Orcutt Creek, the Unnamed Tributaries, and the Wetlands. Dredged or fill material was discharged into approximately 70 acres of waters of the United States on the Site.
- 31. Defendants' activities have had an adverse environmental impact on the Site. Site hydrology has been altered due to obstruction of natural stream channels, restriction of water flow to confined artificial channels, and draining of wetland and riparian areas. These alterations have interfered with the hydrology needed to sustain wetland and riparian ecosystems. Defendants also removed or buried native wetland and riparian vegetation that provided valuable habitat for wildlife species.
  - 32 Defendants have never obtained a permit under Section 404 of the Clean Water

Act for the discharge of dredged or fill material into waters of the United States on the Site.

- 33. On June 16, 1999, EPA issued an information request to Adam Bros., Richard Adam, Peter Adam, Kieran Adam, and Dominic Adam pursuant to section 308 of the CWA. This information request required each recipient to provide information concerning, inter alia, the recipient's income and net worth. Each of the recipients failed to provide the requested financial information.
- 34. On August 3, 1999, EPA issued an administrative order to each of the Defendants requiring them to remediate the environmental harm caused by their unlawful discharges at the Site. Findings of Violation and Compliance Order, Docket No. CWA 404-09a-99-003. ("Compliance Order"). This order required Defendants to retain an EPA-approved contractor to prepare plans for restoring the Site and for off-site mitigation, and to implement these plans after EPA approval. Defendants have failed to comply with this order.

## FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Unlawful Discharge of Dredged and Fill Material)

- 35. The United States incorporates by reference the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 34 inclusive as though set forth in full herein.
- 36. Adam Bros., through its officers and directors, arranged for, directed, and participated in the discharge of dredged and fill material into Orcutt Creek, the Unnamed Tributaries, and the Wetlands in 1998 and 1999.
- 37. Richard Adam, Peter Adam, Kieran Adam, and Dominic Adam, individually and as officers and representatives of Adam Bros., arranged for, directed, or participated in the discharge of dredged and fill material into Orcutt Creek, the Unnamed Tributaries, and the Wetlands in 1998 and 1999.
- 38. Iceberg Holdings, through its general partner Richard Adam, authorized and benefitted from the discharge of dredged and fill material into Orcutt Creek, the Unnamed Tributaries, and the Wetlands in 1999.
- 39. Each of the Defendants is a person under section 502(5) of the CWA, 33 U.S.C. § 1362(5).

- 40. Orcutt Creek, the Unnamed Tributaries, and the Wetlands are "waters of the United States" within the meaning of 40 C.F.R. § 230.3(s) and 33 C.F.R. § 328.3(a).
- 41. The materials discharged by the Defendants into Orcutt Creek, the Unnamed Tributaries, and the Wetlands are "pollutants" within the meaning of section 301(a) of the CWA. 33 U.S.C. § 1311(a).
- 42. The equipment used to place these pollutants into Orcutt Creek, the Unnamed Tributaries, and the Wetlands are "point sources" within section 502(14) of the CWA, 33 U.S.C. § 1362(14).
- 43. Each of the Defendants violated section 301(a) of the Clean Water Act., 33 U.S.C. § 1311(a) by discharging pollutants from a point source into waters of the United States without authorization under the CWA.
- 44. The dredged and fill material unlawfully discharged by Defendants remains in waters of the United States at the Site. Each day that such material remains in waters of the United States constitutes a separate day of violation

# SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Failure To Comply with Remediation Order)

- 45. The United States incorporates by reference the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 47 inclusive as though set forth in full herein.
- 46. On August 3, 1999, EPA issued an administrative compliance order to each of the Defendants pursuant to sections 308 and 309(a) of the CWA. This order required the Defendants to take certain steps to remediate the environmental harm caused by their unlawful discharges. The order required, among other things, that the Defendants prepare an on-site restoration plan by October 1999 and an off-site mitigation plan by November 1999. The order further required that Defendants implement the on-site and off-site plans after receiving EPA approval.
  - 47. Defendants have failed to comply with the 1999 administrative order.
- 48. Defendants' failure to comply with the 1999 administrative order is a violation of section 309 of the CWA. Each day of non-compliance constitutes an additional day of

1 violation.
2
3 W
4 A.
5 waters of
6 B.
7 EPA's 199
8 C.
9 D.
10 F.
11
12
13

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, the United States prays that the Court:

- A. Permanently enjoin Defendants from discharging dredged or fill material into waters of the United States on the Site except as authorized under the Clean Water Act;
- B. Require Defendants to undertake restoration and mitigation in compliance with EPA's 1999 administrative order;
  - C. Assess civil penalties against the Defendants;
  - D. Award the United States its costs in this action; and
  - F. Grant the United States such other relief as may be just and proper.

Respectfully submitted,

LOIS J. SCHIFFER
Assistant Attorney General
Environment & Natural Resources Division

Dated: July 6, 2000

H. MICHAEL SEMLER

MARK A. RIGAU

Environmental Defense Section

Environment & Natural Resources Division

ALEJANDRO N. MAYORKAS United States Attorney Central District of California LEON W. WEIDMAN Chief, Civil Division LAWRENCE KOLE

Assistant United States Attorney

Attorneys for the United States of America

Of Counsel:

CHRISTOPHER A. SPROUL Assistant Regional Counsel United States Environmental Protection Agency

2627

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

-- .